Integral Quadratic Separation Framework

### Dimitri PEAUCELLE

#### LAAS-CNRS - Université de Toulouse - FRANCE

joint work with Denis Arzelier and Frédéric Gouaisbaut

# LAAS-CNRS

French-Israeli Workshop on Delays and Robustness



### Topological separation & related theory

- Well-posedness definition and main result
- Relations with Lyapunov theory
- The case of linear uncertain systems : quadratic separation
- The Lur'e problem
- Relations with IQC framework
- Integral Quadratic Separation (IQS) for the descriptor case
- Output Performances in the IQS framework
- 4 System augmentation : a way towards conservatism reduction
- The Romuald toolbox



Well-posedness & topological separation

Safonov 80]  $\exists \theta$  topological separator:

$$\mathcal{G}^{I}(\bar{w}) = \{(w, z) : G_{\bar{w}}(z, w) = 0\} \subset \{(w, z) : \theta(w, z) \le \phi_{2}(||\bar{w}||)\}$$
$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{z}) = \{(w, z) : F_{\bar{z}}(w, z) = 0\} \subset \{(w, z) : \theta(w, z) > -\phi_{1}(||\bar{z}||)\}$$

 $igraphi \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are positive functions. When  $ar{w}=0$ ,  $ar{z}=0$  separation reads as

$$\mathcal{G}^{I}(0) = \{(w, z) : G_{0}(z, w) = 0\} \subset \{(w, z) : \theta(w, z) \le 0\}$$
$$\mathcal{F}(0) = \{(w, z) : F_{0}(w, z) = 0\} \subset \{(w, z) : \theta(w, z) > 0\}$$

For dynamic systems  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , topological separation  $\equiv$  Lyapunov theory

$$\overbrace{z(t) = f(w(t)) + \overline{z}(t)}^{F} , \quad \overbrace{w(t)}^{W(t)} = \int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{z(\tau)}_{\dot{x}(t)} d\tau + \overline{w}(t)$$

 $\wedge \bar{w}$  : contains information on initial conditions (x(0) = 0 by convention)

 $\bigcirc$  Well-posedness  $\Rightarrow$  for zero initial conditions and zero perturbations :

w = z = 0 (equilibrium point).

Well-posedness (global stability)

 $\Rightarrow$  whatever bounded perturbations the state remains close to equilibrium

Topological separation & related theory

For dynamic systems  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , topological separation  $\equiv$  Lyapunov theory

$$\overbrace{z(t) = f(w(t)) + \bar{z}(t)}^{F} , \quad \overbrace{w(t)}^{W(t)} = \int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{z(\tau)}_{\dot{x}(t)} d\tau + \bar{w}(t)}^{G}$$

• Assume a Lyapunov function V(0) = 0, V(x) > 0,  $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ • Topological separation w.r.t.  $\mathcal{G}^{I}(0)$  is obtained with

$$\theta(w=x, z=\dot{x}) = \int_0^\infty -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x(\tau))\dot{x}(\tau)d\tau = \lim_{t\to\infty} -V(x(t)) < 0$$

A Topological separation w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}(0)$  does hold as well

$$\theta(w, z = f(w)) = \int_0^\infty -\dot{V}(w(\tau))d\tau > 0$$

## Topological separation & related theory

For linear systems : quadratic Lyapunov function, *i.e. quadratic separator* 

$$\overbrace{z(t) = Aw(t) + \overline{z}(t)}^{F} , \quad \underbrace{w(t)}_{x(t)} = \int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{z(\tau)}_{\dot{x}(t)} d\tau + \overline{w}(t)$$

A possible separator based on quadratic Lyapunov function  $V(x) = x^T P x$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}(w,z) = \int_0^\infty \left( \begin{array}{cc} z^T(\tau) & w^T(\tau) \end{array} \right) \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{0} & -P \\ -P & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right] \left( \begin{array}{c} z(\tau) \\ w(\tau) \end{array} \right) d\tau$$

A Quadratic separation w.r.t.  $\mathcal{G}^{I}(0)$ :

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} -x^T(t) \mathbf{P} x(t) \le 0 \ , \ \textit{i.e. } \mathbf{P} > \mathbf{0}$$

igta Quadratic separation w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}(0)$  guaranteed if

$$\forall t > 0$$
,  $-2w^T(t)PAw(t) > 0$ , *i.e.*  $A^TP + PA < 0$ 

Topological separation : alternative to Lyapunov theory

- $\land$  Needs to manipulate systems in a new form
- Suited for systems described as feedback connected blocs

Any linear system with rational dependence w.r.t. parameters writes as such

$$\dot{x} = (A + B_{\Delta} \Delta (1 - D_{\Delta} \Delta)^{-1} C_{\Delta}) x \quad \stackrel{\mathsf{LFT}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + B_{\Delta} w_{\Delta} \\ z_{\Delta} = C_{\Delta} x + D_{\Delta} w_{\Delta} \\ w_{\Delta} = \Delta z_{\Delta} \end{cases}$$

Finding a topological separator is *a priori* 

as complicated as finding a Lyapunov function

Allows to deal with several features simultaneously in a unified way

Quadratic separation [Iwasaki & Hara 1998]

If F(w) = Aw is a linear transformation and  $G = \Delta$  is an uncertain operator defined as  $\Delta \in \Delta$  convex set it is necessary and sufficient to look for a quadratic separator

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}(z,w) = \int_0^\infty \left( \begin{array}{cc} z^T & w^T \end{array} \right) \boldsymbol{\Theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} z \\ w \end{array} \right) d\tau$$

• If  $F(w) = A(\varsigma)w$  is a linear parameter dependent transformation and  $G = \Delta$  is an uncertain operator defined as  $\Delta \in \Delta$  convex set necessary and sufficient to look for a parameter-dependent quadratic separator

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}(z,w) = \int_0^\infty \left( \begin{array}{cc} z^T & w^T \end{array} \right) \boldsymbol{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) \left( \begin{array}{c} z \\ w \end{array} \right) d\tau$$



 $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangle \ F = T(j\omega) \text{ is a transfer function} \\ \blacksquare \ G(z)/z \in [ -k_1, -k_2 ] \text{ is a sector-bounded gain} \\ \text{ (i.e. the inverse graph of } G \text{ is in } [ -1/k_1 \ , \ -1/k_2 ]) \end{array}$ 

igcarrow Circle criterion : exists a quadratic separator (circle) for all  $\omega$ 



 $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

 $\overline{z}$ 

Another example : parameter-dependent Lyapunov function



 $F = A(\delta)$  parameter-dependent LTI state-space model  $G = \mathcal{I}$  is an integrator

Necessary and sufficient to have

$$\Theta(\delta) = \left[ egin{array}{cc} 0 & -P(\delta) \ -P(\delta) & 0 \end{array} 
ight]$$

Direct relation with the IQC framework

 $ightarrow F = T(j\omega)$  is a transfer matrix

 $\triangle G = \Delta$  is an operator known to satisfy an Integral Quadratic Constraint (IQC)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \Delta^*(j\omega) \end{array} \right] \Pi(\omega) \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \Delta(j\omega) \end{array} \right] d\omega \leq 0$$

igcarrow Stability of the closed-loop is guaranteed if for all  $\omega$ 

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} T^*(j\omega) & 1 \end{array}\right] \prod(\omega) \left[\begin{array}{c} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{array}\right] > 0$$

A Knowing  $\Delta$  how to choose  $\Pi = \Theta$ ? (*i.e.* the quadratic separator)

Plenty of results in  $\mu$ -analysis and IQC theory

D-scalings, DG-scalings etc. but still, conservative

- Topological separation & related theory
- Integral Quadratic Separation (IQS) for the descriptor case
- Output Performances in the IQS framework
- System augmentation : a way towards conservatism reduction
- The Romuald toolbox



LTV implicit application in feedback loop with an uncertain operator

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{E}(\varsigma)z(\varsigma) = \mathcal{A}(\varsigma)w(\varsigma)}_{F} \quad , \quad \underbrace{w(\varsigma) = [\nabla z](\varsigma)}_{G} \quad \nabla \in \mathbb{W}$$

 $\overline{\phantom{aaaa}}$  is <u>bloc-diagonal</u> contains scalar, full-bloc, LTI and LTV uncertainties and other operators such as integrators, delays...

$$\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{S}$$
 can be time (continuous/discrete), frequencies.

#### Integral Quadratic Separator

igcap Well-posedness etc. is defined for bounded signals in  $L_2$  (here for  $\varsigma=t$ )

$$z\in \mathsf{R}^p \ , \ \|z\|^2 = \operatorname{Trace} \int_0^\infty z^*(t) z(t) dt < \infty$$

 $\land$  With scalar product

$$< z | w > =$$
 Trace  $\int_0^\infty z^*(t) w(t) dt$ 

$$\|z\|_T^2 = \text{Trace} \int_0^T z^*(t) z(t) dt \ , \ < z|w>_T = \text{Trace} \int_0^T z^*(t) w(t) dt$$

Integral Quadratic Separation [Automatica'08, CDC'08]

For the case of linear application with uncertain operator

 $\mathcal{E}(\varsigma)z(\varsigma) = \mathcal{A}(\varsigma)w(\varsigma) \ , \ w = [\nabla z] \ \nabla \in \mathbb{W}$ 

where  $\mathcal{E}(\varsigma) = \mathcal{E}_1(\varsigma)\mathcal{E}_2$  with  $\mathcal{E}_1(\varsigma)$  strict full column rank,

Integral Quadratic Separator (IQS) :  $\exists \Theta(\varsigma)$ , matrix, solution of LMI

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}_1(\varsigma) & -\mathcal{A}(\varsigma) \end{bmatrix}^{\perp *} \Theta(\varsigma) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}_1(\varsigma) & -\mathcal{A}(\varsigma) \end{bmatrix}^{\perp} > 0 \ , \ \forall \varsigma$$

and Integral Quadratic Constraint (IQC)  $\forall \nabla \in \mathbb{W}$ 

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}_2 z \\ \nabla z \end{array} \right)^* \middle| \Theta \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}_2 z \\ \nabla z \end{array} \right) \right\rangle \leq 0.$$

For given  $\mathbb{W}$ , there exist (conservative) LMI conditions for  $\Theta$  solution to IQC

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}_2 z \\ \nabla z \end{array} \right)^* \middle| \Theta \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}_2 z \\ \nabla z \end{array} \right) \right\rangle \le 0$$

ightarrow is build out of IQS for elementary blocs of abla

 $\land$  Improved DG-scalings, full-bloc S-procedure, vertex separators...

 $\land$  Building  $\ominus$  and related LMIs is tedious but can be automatized

www.laas.fr/OLOCEP/romuloc/

A It is conservative except in few special cases [Meinsma et al., 1997].

Example:  $E(t)\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$  with E(t) strict full column rank.

A TV separator for the integrator operator  $\mathcal{I}\dot{x} = x$  is

$$\Theta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{P}(t) & -P(t) \\ -P(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\land$  The LMI test is  $\forall t > 0$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} E(t) & -A(t) \end{bmatrix}^{\perp *} \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{P}(t) & -P(t) \\ -P(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E(t) & -A(t) \end{bmatrix}^{\perp} > 0$$

▲ It may be solved efficiently if E(t) and A(t) are periodic, when choosing P(t) periodic.

## Robust analysis in IQS framework:

1- Write the robust analysis problem as a well-posedness problem

$$\mathcal{E}z = \mathcal{A}w \ , \ w = \nabla z = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \nabla_{\overline{J}} \end{bmatrix} z$$

igcarrow 2- Build Integral Quadratic Separators for each elementary bloc  $abla_j$ 

O 3- Apply the IQS results to get (conservative) LMIs

- Topological separation & related theory
- Integral Quadratic Separation (IQS) for the descriptor case
- Output Performances in the IQS framework
- 4 System augmentation : a way towards conservatism reduction
- The Romuald toolbox

Induced 
$$L_2$$
 norm ( $H_\infty$  in the LTI case)

$$E\dot{x} = Ax + Bv \ , \ g = Cx + Dv$$

A Prove that system is asymptotically stable and  $||g|| < \gamma ||v||$  for zero initial conditions x(0) = 0(strict upper bound on the  $L_2$  gain attenuation)

Equivalent to well-posedness with respect to Integrator with zero initial conditions  $x(t) = [\mathcal{I}\dot{x}](t) = \int_0^t \dot{x}(\tau)d\tau$ and signals such that  $\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\|g\|$ 

### Induced $L_2$ norm

$$E\dot{x} = Ax + Bv \ , \ g = Cx + Dv$$

 $\wedge$  Define  $\nabla_{n2n}$  the fictitious non-causal <u>uncertain</u> operator such that

$$v = \nabla_{n2n} g$$
 iff  $||v|| \le \frac{1}{\gamma} ||g||$ 

Induced  $L_2$  norm problem is equivalent to well-posedness of

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I} & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{n2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Induced $L_2$ norm

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ g \end{pmatrix}}_{z} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{w} , \nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I} & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{n2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Elementary IQS for bloc  $\mathcal{I}$  is

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -P \\ -P & 0 \end{bmatrix} : P > 0$$

Indeed (recall  $x(t) = [\mathcal{I}\dot{x}](t) = \int_0^t \dot{x}(\tau)d\tau$  and x(0) = 0)

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \mathbf{\mathcal{I}}\dot{x} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \Theta_{\mathcal{I}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \mathbf{\mathcal{I}}\dot{x} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{T} = -x^{*}(T)\mathbf{P}x(T) \leq 0$$

#### Induced $L_2$ norm

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I} & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{n2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Elementary IQS for bloc  $\nabla_{n2n}$  is (small gain theorem)

$$\Theta_{
abla_{n2n}} = \left[ egin{array}{ccc} - au 1 & 0 \ 0 & au \gamma^2 1 \end{array} 
ight] \quad : \ au > 0$$

Indeed (recall  $v = \nabla_{n2n} g$  iff  $||v|| \le \frac{1}{\gamma} ||g||$ )

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} g \\ \nabla_{n2n}g \end{pmatrix} \middle| \Theta_{\nabla_{n2n}} \begin{pmatrix} g \\ \nabla_{n2n}g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \tau(-\|g\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|v\|^2) \le 0$$

Apply IQS and get (for non-descriptor case E=1)

$$\begin{aligned} P > 0 \quad , \quad \tau > 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} A^*P + PA + \tau C^*C & PB + \tau C^*D \\ B^*P + \tau D^*C & -\tau \gamma^2 1 + \tau D^*D \end{bmatrix} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is the classical  $H_{\infty}$  result.

No difficulty to generate LMIs for descriptor case

No difficulty to handle systems with uncertainties, time-delays...

Generic robust performance analysis problem:

Well-posedness of



- $\wedge \int 1_n$  integrator
- $ightarrow \Delta$  matrix of uncertainties
- $\wedge \nabla_{perf}$  operator related to performances

(induced  $L_2$ ,  $H_\infty$ ,  $H_2$ , impulse-to-norm, norm-to-peak, impulse-to-peak)

- Topological separation & related theory
- Integral Quadratic Separation (IQS) for the descriptor case
- Output Performances in the IQS framework
- System augmentation : a way towards conservatism reduction
- The Romuald toolbox

## Towards less-conservative conditions: System augmentation

A Example of stability of uncertain system with parametric uncertainty ( $\dot{\delta}=0$ )

$$\dot{x} = (A + \frac{\delta B_{\Delta} (1 - \delta D_{\Delta})^{-1} C_{\Delta}) x$$

Corresponds to well-posedness of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ z_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_{\Delta} \\ C_{\Delta} & D_{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ w_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} , \nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{1}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

A [Meinsma] rule indicates results may be conservative

## Over the second state of the second state o

Towards less-conservative conditions: System augmentation

igtle Example of stability of uncertain system with parametric uncertainty (igtriangle = 0)

$$\dot{x} = (A + \delta B_{\Delta} (1 - \delta D_{\Delta})^{-1} C_{\Delta}) x$$

Corresponds to well-posedness of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ z_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_{\Delta} \\ C_{\Delta} & D_{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ w_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} , \nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{1}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

To reduce the conservatism: include information  $\delta = 0$ 

$$\dot{w}_{\Delta} = \delta \dot{z}_{\Delta} \ , \ w_{\Delta} = \mathcal{I} \dot{w}_{\Delta}$$

(or in time-varying case with  $\dot{\delta}$  bounded:  $\dot{w}_{\Delta} = \delta \dot{z}_{\Delta} + \dot{\delta} z_{\Delta}$ )

▲ Well-posedness of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ z_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_{\Delta} \\ C_{\Delta} & D_{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ w_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} , \nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{1}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

igla adding the fact that  $\dot{w}_\Delta=oldsymbol{\delta}\dot{z}_\Delta$ , is also equivalent to well-posedness of



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -C_{\Delta} & | 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & | 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_{\Delta} \\ \frac{\dot{x}}{z_{\Delta}} \\ \dot{z}_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & | 0 & 1 \\ 0 & A & | B_{\Delta} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{\Delta} & | D_{\Delta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | D_{\Delta} \\ 1 & 0 & | -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\Delta} \\ \frac{x}{w_{\Delta}} \\ \dot{w}_{\Delta} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{1_{n+m}} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{1_{2m}} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is descriptor model.

igcap More decisions variables in the separator (increased dimensions of abla )

Bigger LMI conditions (m + n rows)

Lyapunov function is with respect to the augmented state

(vector involved in the integrator operator)

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} w_{\Delta}^{*} & x^{*}\end{array}\right) P \left(\begin{array}{c} w_{\Delta} \\ x\end{array}\right)$$

A Recalling that

$$w_{\Delta} = \delta (1 - \delta D_{\Delta})^{-1} C_{\Delta} x$$

the result corresponds to looking for a parameter dependent Lyapunov function

$$x^* \begin{bmatrix} \delta(1-\delta D_{\Delta})^{-1}C_{\Delta} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* P \begin{bmatrix} \delta(1-\delta D_{\Delta})^{-1}C_{\Delta} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} x$$

Proves to be less conservative than for LMIs obtained on original system.

System augmentation and conservatism reduction

Going further this first order relaxation ? Yes !

**O** 2nd order relaxation: include information that  $\ddot{\delta} = 0$ 

$$\ddot{w}_{\Delta} = \delta \ddot{z}_{\Delta} , \ \dot{w}_{\Delta} = \mathcal{I} \ddot{w}_{\Delta}$$
  
 $\ddot{z}_{\Delta} = C_{\Delta} (A \dot{x} + B_{\Delta} \dot{w}_{\Delta}) + D_{\Delta} \ddot{w}_{\Delta}$ 

• Augmented uncertain operator  $\nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I} 1_{n+2m} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta 1_{3m} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Implicitly defined Lyapunov function is of higher order, quadratic in

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_{\Delta} \\ w_{\Delta} \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta(1 - \delta D_{\Delta})^{-1}C_{\Delta}(A + B_{\Delta}\delta(1 - \delta D_{\Delta})^{-1}C_{\Delta}) \\ \delta(1 - \delta D_{\Delta})^{-1}C_{\Delta} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} x$$

- Towards less-conservative conditions: System augmentation
- Adding more equations for higher derivatives of the state: less conservative LMI conditions
- Same technique works for time varying uncertainties (if known bounds on derivatives)
- Has been applied successfully to time-delay systems [Gouaisbaut]: gives sequences of LMI conditions with decreasing conservatism
- Related to SOS representations of positive polynomials [Sato 2009]: conservatism decreases as the order of the representation is augmented
- No need to manipulate by hand LMIs (Schur complements etc.), polynomials...
- 🔺 Does conservatism vanishes? Exactly? Asymptotically? 🚱
- ightarrow Is it possible to cope with non-linearities in the same way? 🚱



- Topological separation & related theory
- 2 Integral Quadratic Separation (IQS) for the descriptor case
- Output Performances in the IQS framework
- 4 System augmentation : a way towards conservatism reduction
- The Romuald toolbox

Freely distributed software to test the theoretical results

Existing software : RoMulOC

```
www.laas.fr/OLOCEP/romuloc
```

A Contains some of the analysis results plus some state-feedback features

Currently developed software : Romuald

Dedicated to analysis of descriptor systems

 $\land$  Fully coded using the quadratic separation theory

Allows systematic system augmentation

A First preliminary tests currently done for satellite and plane applications

>> quiz = ctrpb( OrderOfAugmentation ) + h2 (usys);

```
>> result = solvesdp( quiz )
```





