Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws

Tal Shima

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Joint work with Vitaly Shaferman, Vienna Institute of Technology

Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws – p. 1/47

Introduction

Guidance is the process of modifying the trajectory of a vehicle in motion in order to reach a pre-specified target. The target is, in the most general sense, a set of states (position, velocity) either fixed in time or time varying.

Classical guidance laws

- Attitude/velocity pursuit (Pure Pursuit)
- Command-to-Line-of Sight / Beam Rider
- Proportional Navigation (Parallel Navigation)
- Optimal control based guidance

All these guidance laws are one-on-one

Introduction

Motivation for cooperative guidance

- Saturate the target's defenses (e.g. using a salvo attack)
- Limit the target's evasive possibilities (e.g. by controlling the relative geometry)
- Lure the target to a trap

Potentially two possible modes of cooperative guidance:

- Implicit cooperation some coordination parameter between vehicles (e.g. intercept target from pre-specified angels or at pre-specified time)
- Explicit cooperation optimizing team performance criteria (e.g. enforcing relative geometry in-between missile team or simultaneous interception)
- Explicit cooperation can yield better performance (e.g. by considering intrinsic relationships between team members)

Introduction (contd.)



Introduction - related literature

Intercept angle guidance

- PN based laws (Kim et al., Ratnoo & Ghose, etc.)
- Optimal guidance laws (Ryoo et al., Idan et al., etc.)
- Maneuvering target (Shima)
- Differential Games laws (Shaferman & Shima)

Cooperative guidance laws

- Differential games (Hagedorn & Breakwell, etc.)
- Simultaneous arrival (Lee et al., Meyer et al.)



Outline

- Mathematical Model
- Optimization Problem
- Optimal Cooperative Law
- Performance Analysis
- Summary & Conclusions

Mathematical Model - planar engagement geometry



Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws - p. 7/47

Mathematical Model - non linear EOM

$$\dot{
ho}_i = V_{
ho i}$$
 (1a)

$$\dot{\lambda}_i = V_{\lambda i} / \rho_i$$
 (1b)

$$\dot{\gamma}_T = a_T / V_T \tag{1c}$$

$$\dot{a}_T = (u_T - a_T)/\tau_T \tag{1d}$$

where

$$V_{\rho i} = -\left[V_T \cos(\gamma_T + \lambda_i) + V_i \cos(\gamma_i - \lambda_i)\right]$$
(2a)

$$V_{\lambda i} = V_T \sin(\gamma_T + \lambda_i) - V_i \sin(\gamma_i - \lambda_i)$$
 (2b)

Once collision triangle *i* is reached and maintained then $V_{\rho i}$ is constant and interception time can be assumed fixed:

$$t_{fi} = -\rho_{i0}/V_{\rho i} \tag{3}$$

Mathematical Model - non linear EOM (contd.)

Each missile's dynamics and acceleration (dim(y_i) = l):

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_i = \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{y}_i + \mathbf{B}_i u_i$$
 (4a)

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = a_i/V_i$$
 (4b)

$$a_i = \mathbf{C}_i \boldsymbol{y}_i + D_i u_i \tag{4c}$$

The missiles' internal states, accelerations, and controls are:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_m = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_1^T & \boldsymbol{y}_2^T & \dots & \boldsymbol{y}_n^T \end{bmatrix}^T$$
 (5)

$$\boldsymbol{a}_m = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_m = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{array} \right]^T \tag{7}$$

Mathematical Model - linearized EOM



Mathematical Model - linearized EOM

The state vector of the cooperative linearized problem is

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_1^T & \boldsymbol{x}_2^T & \boldsymbol{x}_\gamma^T & \boldsymbol{x}_m^T & \boldsymbol{a}_T \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(8)

where x_1 is a vector of the *n* separations between the *n* missiles and the target, relative to LOS_{i0}

$$\boldsymbol{x}_1 = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \dots & \xi_n \end{array} \right]^T \tag{9}$$

and x_2 is its derivative. x_γ is a vector of the respective flight path angles

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\gamma} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma_T + \gamma_1 & \gamma_T + \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_T + \gamma_n \end{array} \right]^T$$
 (10)

dim (x) = n(3+l) + 1

Mathematical Model - linearized EOM (contd.)

The equations of motion are

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 = \boldsymbol{x}_2 \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 = \boldsymbol{E}_T \boldsymbol{a}_T - \boldsymbol{E}_m \boldsymbol{a}_m \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_\gamma = \boldsymbol{F}_T \boldsymbol{a}_T + \boldsymbol{F}_m \boldsymbol{a}_m \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_m = \boldsymbol{A}_m \boldsymbol{x}_m + \boldsymbol{B}_m \boldsymbol{u}_m \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{a}}_T = (\boldsymbol{u}_T - \boldsymbol{a}_T)/\tau_T \end{cases}$$
(11)

Matrix form of the equation set

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{u}_m + \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{u}_T \tag{12}$$

Outline

- $\sqrt{Mathematical Model}$
- Optimization Problem
- Optimal Cooperative Law
- Performance Analysis
- Summary & Conclusions

Optimization Problem - explicit cooperation

Ordered interception times

$$t_{fn} \ge \dots \ge t_{f2} \ge t_{f1} \tag{13}$$

Cost function (explicit)

$$J = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \xi_1^2(t_{f1}) + \dots + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \xi_n^2(t_{fn}) + \frac{\beta_1}{2} [\boldsymbol{x}_{\gamma 1}(t_{f1}) - \boldsymbol{x}_{\gamma 2}(t_{f2}) - \Delta_{c1}]^2$$
(14)
+ \dots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{2} [\boldsymbol{x}_{\gamma(n-1)}(t_{f(n-1)}) - \boldsymbol{x}_{\gamman}(t_{fn}) - \Delta_{c(n-1)}]^2
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{f1}} \eta_1^2 u_1^2 dt + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{fn}} \eta_n^2 u_n^2 dt

where the weights α_i , β_i , & η_i are non-negative. $\boldsymbol{x}_{\gamma i} = \gamma_T + \gamma_i$

Optimization Problem - implicit cooperation

Example for implicit cost function

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i$$
 (15)

where

$$J_{i} = \alpha_{i}\xi_{i}^{2}(t_{fi}) + \beta_{i}[\gamma_{i}(t_{fi}) - \gamma_{T}(t_{fi}) - \Delta_{ci}]^{2} + \int_{0}^{t_{fi}} \eta_{i}^{2}u_{i}^{2}dt \quad (16)$$

In such an engagement each missile will be guided using the appropriate 1-on-1 guidance law, which for this case is that of [Shaferman & Shima, AIAA Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, 2008].

Optimization Problem - order reduction

One sided order reduction

$$\mathbf{Z}(t) = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{\Phi}(t_f, t)\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{D}\int_t^{t_f} \mathbf{\Phi}(t_f, \tau)\mathbf{C}u_T d\tau$$
(17)

The time derivative of the new state $\mathbf{Z}(t)$ is

$$\dot{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{D}[\dot{\mathbf{\Phi}}(t_f, t)\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{\Phi}(t_f, t)\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}] - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{\Phi}(t_f, t)\mathbf{C}u_T = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{\Phi}(t_f, t)\mathbf{B}u_m$$
(18)

Zero effort miss of missile 1 (Z_1) is obtained for

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & [0] & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

Zero effort angle (ZEA) of missile 1 (Z_{n+1}) is obtained for

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & [0] & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

Optimization Problem - order reduction (contd.)

$$J = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} Z_1^2(t_{f1}) + \dots + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} Z_n^2(t_{fn}) + \frac{\beta_1}{2} [Z_{n+1}(t_{f1}) - Z_{n+2}(t_{f2}) - \Delta_{c1}]^2$$

$$+ \dots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{2} [Z_{2n-1}(t_{f(n-1)}) - Z_{2n}(t_{fn}) - \Delta_{c(n-1)}]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{f1}} \eta_1^2 u_1^2 dt + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{fn}} \eta_n^2 u_n^2 dt$$

Missile *i* ceases to exist for $t > t_{fi}$. Therefore $u_i = 0$ for $t > t_{fi}$

$$J = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} Z_1^2(t_{fn}) + \dots + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} Z_n^2(t_{fn}) + \frac{\beta_1}{2} [Z_{n+1}(t_{fn}) - Z_{n+2}(t_{fn}) - \Delta_{c1}]^2$$

$$+ \dots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{2} [Z_{2n-1}(t_{fn}) - Z_{2n}(t_{fn}) - \Delta_{c(n-1)}]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{fn}} \eta_1^2 u_1^2 dt + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{fn}} \eta_n^2 u_n^2 dt$$

Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws - p. 17/47

Outline

- $\sqrt{Mathematical Model}$
- $\sqrt{\text{Optimization Problem}}$
- Optimal Cooperative Law
- Performance Analysis
- Summary & Conclusions

The Hamiltonian of the problem is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_1^2 u_1^2 + \eta_2^2 u_2^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2 u_n^2) + \dot{Z}_1 \lambda_{z_1} + \dot{Z}_2 \lambda_{z_2} + \dots + \dot{Z}_{2n} \lambda_{z_{2n}}$$
(23)

Time derivative of zero effort variables is state independent, simplifying considerably the adjoint equations. Resulting with

$$\lambda_{z_i}(t) = \alpha_i Z_i(t_{f_n}), \quad i \in \{1, ..., n\}$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta_i} \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_{z_{n+j}} = \Delta Z_{n+i}(t_{f_n}) \quad , \quad i \in \{1, ..., n-1\} \; , \; \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{z_{n+j}} = 0$$
(24a)
(24b)

where the relative i & i + 1 angular error at intercept is

$$\Delta Z_{n+i}(t_{f_n}) = Z_{n+i}(t_{f_n}) - Z_{n+i+1}(t_{f_n}) - \Delta_{ci}$$
(25)

The reduced order dynamics associated with the i-th missile is (Eq. (18))

$$\dot{\mathbf{Z}}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \dot{Z}_i = \mathbf{D}_{\xi} \mathbf{\Phi}^{(i)}(t_{go_i}) \mathbf{B}^{(i)} u_i = \varphi_{\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go_i}) u_i \\ \dot{Z}_{n+i} = \mathbf{D}_{\gamma} \mathbf{\Phi}^{(i)}(t_{go_i}) \mathbf{B}^{(i)} u_i = \varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go_i}) u_i \end{cases}$$
(26)

Therefore, the optimal controllers for the missiles, which satisfy $u_i^* = \underset{u_i}{\arg \min H}, i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ are

$$u_{i}^{*}(t) = -\frac{1}{\eta_{i}^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \lambda_{z_{j}}(t) \frac{\partial \dot{Z}_{j}}{\partial u_{i}} = -\frac{1}{\eta_{i}^{2}} \left[\lambda_{z_{i}}(t) \varphi_{\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}}) + \lambda_{z_{n+i}}(t) \varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}}) \right]$$
(27)

Substituting Eq. (27) into Eq. (26) and integrating from t to t_{f_i} yields the following 2n coupled algebraic equations for $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$

$$Z_{i}(t_{f_{n}}) = Z_{i}(t_{f_{i}}) = Z_{i}(t) - \lambda_{z_{i}}\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}}) - \lambda_{z_{n+i}}\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}})$$
(28a)
$$Z_{n+i}(t_{f_{n}}) = Z_{n+i}(t_{f_{i}}) = Z_{n+i}(t) - \lambda_{z_{i}}\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}}) - \lambda_{z_{n+i}}\chi_{\gamma\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}})$$
(28b)

where

$$\chi_{jl}^{(i)}(t_{go_i}) = \frac{1}{\eta_i^2} \int_0^{t_{go_i}} \varphi_j^{(i)}(t_{go}) \varphi_l^{(i)}(t_{go}) dt_{go} \quad , \quad j,l \in \{\xi,\gamma\}$$
(29)

There are therefore 4n coupled linear equations with 4nunknowns $Z_i(t_{f_n}), Z_{n+i}(t_{f_n}), \lambda_i, \lambda_{n+i}, i \in \{1, ..., n\}.$

Closed form solution obtained for any team size \boldsymbol{n}

$$\lambda_{z_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{\Psi_1 - \Upsilon^{(1)}(t_{go_1})} \left[\Gamma^{(1)}(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \Gamma^{(j)}(t) \prod_{p=2}^{j} \frac{-\Upsilon^{(j)}(t_{go_j})}{\Psi_j - \Upsilon^{(j)}(t_{go_j})} \right]$$
(30)

where

$$\Psi_{j}(t_{go_{j+1}}, t_{go_{j+2}}, ..., t_{go_{n}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\Psi_{j+1} - \Upsilon^{(j+1)}\right) - \Psi_{j+1}\Upsilon^{(j+1)}}{\beta_{j}(\Psi_{j+1} - \Upsilon^{(j+1)})} &, \ j \in \{1, ..., n-2\}\\ \frac{1 - \beta_{n-1}\Upsilon^{(n)}}{\beta_{n-1}} &, \ j \in \{n-1\} \end{cases}$$
(31)

The rest of the co-states $\lambda_{z_{n+i}}$ solved by an iterative process

$$\lambda_{z_{n+i+1}} = \frac{1}{\Upsilon^{(i+1)}(t_{go_{i+1}})} \left[-\frac{1}{\beta_i} \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_{z_{n+j}} + \Upsilon^{(i)}(t_{go_i}) \lambda_{z_{n+i}} + \Gamma^{(i)}(t) \right]$$
(32)

Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws - p. 22/47

Substituting and rearranging the optimal controller of the *i*-th missile is

$$u_{i}^{*}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{N_{Z_{j}}^{u_{i}}}{t_{go_{i}}^{2}} Z_{j}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} N_{\Delta Z_{n+j}}^{u_{i}} \frac{V_{i}}{t_{go_{i}}} \Delta Z_{n+j}(t), \ t \in [0, t_{f_{i}}], i \in \{1, ..., n\}$$
(33)

It is linear with the ZEM variables and the relative ZEAE variables between consecutive missiles

When the relative geometry between the missiles is not imposed $(\beta_i \rightarrow 0)$, the solution is reduced to *n* decoupled guidance laws

$$u_{i}^{*}(t) = \frac{-\alpha_{i}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}})Z_{i}(t)}{\eta_{i}^{2}\left[1 + \alpha_{i}\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go_{i}})\right]} \quad , \quad t \in [0, t_{f_{i}}] \quad , \quad i \in \{1, ..., n\}$$
(34)

V

Imposing perfect intercept and intercept angle ($\alpha_i, \beta_i \to \infty, \forall i$)

$$N_{Z_k}^{u_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]t_{go_i}^2}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Lambda^{(i)}}{\Upsilon^{(j)}} - \frac{\Lambda^{(i)}}{\Upsilon^{(i)}}\right] - \frac{\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)}t_{go_i}^2}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}} &, \ k = i \\ \frac{-\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]\Lambda^{(k)}t_{go_i}^2}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}\Upsilon^{(k)}} &, \ k \neq i \end{cases}$$
$$N_{\Delta Z_{n+k}}^{u_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]t_{go_i}}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}V_i}\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\Upsilon^{(j)}} &, \ k < i \\ \frac{-\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]t_{go_i}}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}V_i}\sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{1}{\Upsilon^{(j)}} &, \ k \geq i \end{cases}$$

V

Imposing perfect intercept and intercept angle ($\alpha_i, \beta_i \to \infty, \forall i$)

$$N_{Z_k}^{u_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]t_{go_i}^2}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Lambda^{(i)}}{\Upsilon^{(j)}} - \frac{\Lambda^{(i)}}{\Upsilon^{(i)}}\right] - \frac{\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)}t_{go_i}^2}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}} &, \ k = i \\ \frac{-\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]\Lambda^{(k)}t_{go_i}^2}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}\Upsilon^{(k)}} &, \ k \neq i \end{cases}$$
$$N_{\Delta Z_{n+k}}^{u_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]t_{go_i}}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}V_i}\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\Upsilon^{(j)}} &, \ k < i \\ \frac{-\left[\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)} - \chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}\right]t_{go_i}}{\eta_i^2\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}\Upsilon^{(i)}\Delta_{\infty}V_i}\sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{1}{\Upsilon^{(j)}} &, \ k \geq i \end{cases}$$

Assuming identical closed-loop dynamics for all team members we obtain

$$\varphi_{\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go}) = k_i \varphi_{\xi}(t_{go}) \quad , \quad \varphi_{\xi}(t_{go}) = \mathbf{D}_{\xi} \bar{\mathbf{\Phi}}(t_{go}) \bar{\mathbf{B}}$$
(35a)

$$\varphi_{\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go}) = \varphi_{\gamma}(t_{go})/V_i \quad , \quad \varphi_{\gamma}(t_{go}) = \mathbf{D}_{\gamma}\bar{\mathbf{\Phi}}(t_{go})\bar{\mathbf{B}}$$
(35b)

where $\mathbf{\bar{\Phi}}(t_{go})$ and $\mathbf{\bar{B}}$ are the transition and control matrices, and

$$\chi_{\xi\xi}^{(i)}(t_{go}) = \frac{k_i^2}{\eta_i^2} \chi_{\xi\xi}(t_{go}), \ \chi_{\xi\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go}) = \frac{k_i}{\eta_i^2 V_i} \chi_{\xi\gamma}(t_{go}), \ \chi_{\gamma\gamma}^{(i)}(t_{go}) = \frac{1}{\eta_i^2 V_i^2} \chi_{\gamma\gamma}(t_{go})$$
(36)

 $\chi_{\xi\xi}(t_{go}), \chi_{\xi\gamma}(t_{go}), \text{ and } \chi_{\gamma\gamma}(t_{go}) \text{ are }$

$$\chi_{jl}(t_{go}) = \int_0^{t_{go}} \varphi_j(\tau) \varphi_l(\tau) d\tau \quad , \quad j,l \in \{\xi,\gamma\}$$
(37)

This yields

$$N_{Z_{k}}^{u_{i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma}\right)\chi_{\xi\gamma}t_{go}^{2}}{k_{i}\chi_{\xi\xi}\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2} - V_{i}^{2}\eta_{i}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}} - \frac{\varphi_{\xi}t_{go}^{2}}{k_{i}\chi_{\xi\xi}} &, k = i\\ \frac{-\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma}\right)\chi_{\xi\gamma}t_{go}^{2}}{k_{k}\chi_{\xi\xi}\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)} \frac{V_{k}V_{i}\eta_{k}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}} &, k \neq i \end{cases}$$

$$(38)$$

$$N_{\Delta Z_{n+k}}^{u_{i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma}\right)t_{go}}{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}} &, k < i \\ \frac{-\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma}\right)t_{go}}{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}V_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}} &, k \ge i \end{cases}$$

$$(39)$$

Assuming equal team members speeds $V_i = V$ and maneuver capabilities $\eta_i = 1$, $\forall i$ yields navigation gains as a function of the team's size

$$N_{Z_{k}}^{u_{i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma})\chi_{\xi\gamma}t_{go}^{2}(n-1)}{k_{i}\chi_{\xi\xi}(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi})} & , k = i \\ \frac{-(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma})\chi_{\xi\gamma}t_{go}^{2}}{k_{k}\chi_{\xi\xi}\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)} & , k \neq i \end{cases}$$

$$(40)$$

$$N_{\Delta Z_{n+k}}^{u_{i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma}\right)t_{go}}{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)}\frac{k}{n} & , \ k < i \\ \frac{-\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}\varphi_{\xi} - \chi_{\xi\xi}\varphi_{\gamma}\right)t_{go}}{\left(\chi_{\xi\gamma}^{2} - \chi_{\gamma\gamma}\chi_{\xi\xi}\right)} & , \ k \ge i \end{cases}$$
(41)

- The ZEM navigation gains are equally dependent on all the other missiles in the team.
- In contrast, the ZEA navigation gains are linearly dependent on the index distance |i - k|.
- Choosing n → ∞ results in convergence of the ZEM navigation gains to the 1-on-1 ZEM navigation gains and the ZEA navigation gains are bounded by the 1-on-1 ZEA navigation gains.

Outline

- $\sqrt{Mathematical Model}$
- $\sqrt{\text{Optimization Problem}}$
- $\sqrt{\text{Optimal Cooperative Law}}$
- 2-on1 Solution
- Performance Analysis
- Summary & Conclusions

Simplifying assumptions for analytic solution: 2-on-1, $a_T = const$, ideal dynamics

Cost function

$$J = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} Z_1^2(t_{f2}) + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} Z_2^2(t_{f2}) + \frac{\beta}{2} [Z_3(t_{f2}) - Z_4(t_{f2}) - \Delta_{c1}]^2 \quad (42)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{f2}} \eta_1^2 u_1^2 + \eta_2^2 u_2^2 dt$$

Zero effort miss dynamics

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Z}_1 = -k_1(t_{f1} - t)u_1 \\ \dot{Z}_2 = -k_2(t_{f2} - t)u_2 \\ \dot{Z}_3 = u_1/V_1 \\ \dot{Z}_4 = u_2/V_2 \end{cases}$$
(43)

$$u_{1}^{*}(t) = \frac{N_{Z_{1}}^{'u_{1}}}{t_{go1}^{2}} Z_{1}(t) + \frac{N_{Z_{2}}^{'u_{1}}}{t_{go2}^{2}} Z_{2}(t) + N_{Z_{34}}^{'u_{1}} \frac{V_{1}}{t_{go1}} (Z_{3}(t) - Z_{4}(t) - \Delta_{c})$$
(44a)

$$u_{2}^{*}(t) = \frac{N_{Z_{1}}^{'u_{2}}}{t_{go1}^{2}} Z_{1}(t) + \frac{N_{Z_{2}}^{'u_{2}}}{t_{go2}^{2}} Z_{2}(t) + N_{Z_{34}}^{'u_{2}} \frac{V_{2}}{t_{go2}} (Z_{3}(t) - Z_{4}(t) - \Delta_{c})$$
(44b)

where

$$Z_1(t) = \xi_1 + \dot{\xi_1} t_{go1} + k_{T1} a_T t_{go1}^2 / 2$$
(45a)

$$Z_2(t) = \xi_2 + \dot{\xi_2} t_{go2} + k_{T2} a_T t_{go2}^2 / 2$$
(45b)

$$Z_3(t) = t_{go1} a_T / V_T + \gamma_T + \gamma_1$$
 (45c)

$$Z_4(t) = t_{go2} a_T / V_T + \gamma_T + \gamma_2$$
 (45d)

The navigation gains are

$$N_{Z_{1}}^{'u_{1}} = 3k_{1}t_{go1}^{3}\alpha_{1}\left[t_{go2}V_{1}^{2}\beta C_{22} + 2V_{2}^{2}\eta^{2}C_{21}\left(2V_{1}^{2} + \beta t_{go1}\right)\right]/\Delta_{z}$$
(46a)

$$N_{Z_2}^{'u_1} = 3k_2 t_{go2}^4 V_1 V_2 \beta \alpha_2 \eta^2 \left(6 - k_1^2 t_{go1}^3 \alpha_1 \right) / \Delta_z$$
(46b)

$$N_{Z_{34}}^{'u_1} = 2V_2^2 \beta \eta^2 t_{go1} C_{21} \left(k_1^2 t_{go1}^3 \alpha_1 - 6 \right) / \Delta_z$$
(46c)

$$N_{Z_1}^{'u_2} = 3k_1 t_{go1}^4 V_1 V_2 \beta \alpha_1 \left(6\eta^2 - k_2^2 t_{go2}^3 \alpha_2 \right) / \Delta_z$$
(46d)

$$N_{Z_2}^{'u_2} = 3k_2 t_{go2}^3 \alpha_2 \left[t_{go1} V_2^2 \beta \eta^2 C_{12} + 2V_1^2 C_{11} \left(2V_2^2 \eta^2 + \beta t_{go2} \right) \right] / \Delta_z$$
(46e)

$$N_{Z_{34}}^{'u_2} = 2V_1^2 \beta t_{go2} C_{11} \left(6\eta^2 - k_2^2 t_{go2}^3 \alpha_2 \right) / \Delta_z \tag{46f}$$

By enforcing perfect intercept and intercept angle $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta \to \infty)$, and assuming an identical missile team launched at the same time ($V_1 = V_2 = V$, and $\eta = 1$) we obtain

$$N_{Z_1}^{u_1} = \frac{9}{2k_1}$$
, $N_{Z_2}^{u_1} = \frac{-3}{2k_2}$, $N_{\Delta Z_3}^{u_1} = 1$ (47)

By dictating that the second missile does not maneuver $(\eta^2 \rightarrow \infty)$, the 1-on-1 optimal guidance law is obtained with

$$N_{Z_1}^{u_1} = \frac{6}{k_1}$$
 , $N_{\Delta Z_3}^{u_1} = 2$ (48)

The absolute values of $N_{Z_1}^{u_1}$ and $N_{\Delta Z_3}^{u_1}$ for the cooperative case are bounded from above by the 1-on-1 gains, and $N_{Z_1}^{u_1}$ is slightly higher than the optimal APN gain ($N_{Z_1}^{u_i} = 3/k_1$). These properties actually hold for any team size (ideal dynamics case).

Outline

- $\sqrt{Mathematical Model}$
- $\sqrt{\text{Optimization Problem}}$
- $\sqrt{\text{Optimal Cooperative Law}}$
- $\sqrt{2-on1}$ Solution
- Performance Analysis
- Summary & Conclusions

Performance Analysis

Parameter	Value	units
Δ	30	deg
$ ho_0$	5000	[m]
V_T	500	[m/s]
V_1,V_2	500	[m/s]
$ au_T$	0.2	[sec]
$ au_1, au_2$	0.2	[sec]
a_T	50	$[m/s^2]$

Explicit Cooperation - Trajectories



Explicit Cooperation - Accelerations



Explicit Cooperation - Navigation Gains



Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws - p. 39/47

Implicit Cooperation - Trajectories (0,30)



Implicit Cooperation - Accelerations (0,30)



Comparison Between Explicit & Implicit Cooperation



Robustness to Target Maneuvers - Trajectories



Robustness to Target Maneuvers - Accelerations



Robustness to Target Maneuvers - Comparison



Robustness to Target Maneuvers - Adaptation



Cooperative Optimal Missile Guidance Laws - p. 46/47

Summary & Conclusions

- Explicit cooperative guidance laws have been presented
- Compared to, 1-on-1 based, implicit guidance laws
- Explicit cooperation is much superior to implicit cooperation
- Cooperation dramatically improved homing performance and reduced control effort
- Relative intercept angle capability can be used for saturating target defences, improve observability, etc.
- Research was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation
- Shaferman, V. and Shima, T., "Cooperative Optimal Guidance Laws for Imposing a Relative Intercept Angle" *AIAA Journal Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 2014.