Ariel University of Samaria



Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

Proportional Navigation Modern Investigation

Ziv Meri, EEE M.Sc. Program prof. Grigory Agranovich, Supervisor

May 2017

Methodology

- Proportional Navigation
- Mechanization Effect
- Generalized Lyapunov Investigation
- Results
- Summary

Proportional Navigation



$$R\ddot{\theta}+2\dot{R}\dot{\theta}=-a_m+a_T$$

Guidance Command $a_c(t) = v_c N \dot{\theta}(t)$



Linearization



$$a_c = N \frac{y + \dot{y} t_{go}}{t_{go}^2}$$

 $t_{go} = t_f - t$

Flyby



Guidance Loop



Guidance Loop





Final Divergence



Analysis Method

$$\dot{x}_1 = \frac{2}{t_f - t} x_1 - \frac{c}{t_f - t} x_{ap}$$
$$\dot{x}_{ap} = b N x_1 + A x_{ap}$$

- Stability Conditions??
 - -LTI theory
 - Absolute Stability
 - Lyapunov Generalization

Definitions

• Uniformly bounded stability $||x(t)|| \le \alpha ||x_0||$



11/33

 $0 \le t \le t_f - \tau_1$

Definitions

• Asymptotic stability



Lyapunov Function

• Define the Lyapunov Function

$$v\bigl(z(t)\bigr) = x_1^2 + z_{ap}^T H \, z_{ap}^T$$

• Seek for

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}v\big(z(t)\big) \le \left(-\frac{\alpha_1}{t_{go}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{t_{go}^2}\right) \cdot v\big(z(t)\big)$$

• With the solution

$$v(z(t)) \le v(z_0) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathsf{t}_{go}}{t_f}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot e^{\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{t_{go}} - \frac{1}{t_f}\right)}$$

Inequalities Manipulations

BOUNDED STABILITY

$$v(z(t)) \le v(z_0) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathsf{t}_{go}}{t_f}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot e^{\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{t_{go}} - \frac{1}{t_f}\right)}$$

$$\tau_1 \leq t_{go} \rightarrow v(z(t)) \leq v(z_0) \cdot e^{\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} - \frac{1}{t_f}\right)}$$

Inequalities Manipulations

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

$$v(z(t)) \le v(z_0) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathsf{t}_{g0}}{\mathsf{t}_f}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot e^{\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{t}_{g0}} - \frac{1}{\mathsf{t}_f}\right)}$$

$$t_{go} \leq \tau_2 < t_f \rightarrow v(z(t)) \leq v(z_0) \cdot \left(\frac{\tau_2}{t_f}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot e^{\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} - \frac{1}{t_f}\right)}$$

Results

- $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \sim$ System Parameters
- Sufficient Conditions to Asymptotic Stability
 - *N* > 2
 - Hurwitz Autopilot

Examples – 1st order

• Autopilot $\frac{1}{0.5 s+1}$

• $\lambda = -2 \rightarrow A$ Hurwitz

• Let N = 4 > 2

 $\frac{1}{0.5 s + 1}$



Example – 2nd order

• Autopilot –

$$\frac{15^2}{s^2 + 0.8 \cdot 5 \cdot s + 15^2}$$

- - 2

• $\lambda_{1,2} = -12 \pm 9 \cdot i \rightarrow \text{A Hurwitz}$

• Let N = 4 > 2





Example – 2nd order

- Autopilot $\frac{2^2}{s^2 0.8 \cdot 2 \cdot s + 2^2}$
- $\lambda_{1,2} = 1.6 \pm 0.2 \cdot i \rightarrow \text{A not Hurwitz}$

• Let N = 4 > 2





Unstable

Example – 2nd order

• Autopilot $\frac{1}{s^2+0.7\cdot s+1}$

• $\lambda_{1,2} = -0.7 \pm 0.7 \cdot i \rightarrow \text{A Hurwitz}$

• Let N = 4 > 2





stable?

Example – 2nd order

- Autopilot $\frac{5^2}{s^2+0.7\cdot 5^2\cdot s+5^2}$
- $\lambda_{1,2} = -3.5 \pm 3.5 \cdot i \rightarrow \text{A Hurwitz}$

• Let N = 4 > 2



- $\omega_n \to 5\frac{r}{s} \sim t_f = 25 \text{sec}$
- $||x(t;t_{f_2})||^2 < ||x(t;t_{f_1})||^2$ asymptotic stability
- Stability independence of time, performances not

Missile's Parameters Adjustment

$$\begin{aligned} v(z(t)) &\leq v(z_0) \cdot \left(\frac{\tau_2}{t_f}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot e^{\alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} - \frac{1}{t_f}\right)} \\ & \to \sigma = \frac{\alpha_2}{\tau_1} + \alpha_1 \ln \frac{\tau_2}{t_f} \end{aligned}$$



t~4.8*sec*









Conclusions

- Sufficient conditions to stability
 - N>2
 - Hurwitz autopilot
- Stability + Interception performances!
- Parameters' adjustment to delay divergence

Summary

- Investigation of PNG system
- Complicated t_{go} problem solution
- Conditions to asymptotic stability
- Complete tool for a designer